

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

☐ Redevelopment and Housing: 619.441.1710

Fax: 619.441.1595

☐ Planning / Director's Office: 619.441.1741 ☐ Building and Fire Safety: 619.441.1726

Fax: 619.441.1743

June 15, 2011

Harold Brown, Chief Executive Officer East County Transitional Living Center 1527 East Main Street El Cajon, CA 92021

Dear Mr. Brown;

On May 17, 2011, JoAnn Carpenter, Community Development Program Consultant for the City of El Cajon, monitored the El Cajon CDBG-funded activity provided by your agency. The program provides homeless services including motel vouchers, job training, and case management services.

The period under review was from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The purpose of a monitoring visit is to determine whether the subrecipient has implemented and administered CDBG-funded activities according to applicable Federal requirements. We want to thank you for providing all the information we requested in a timely manner. This makes our review much easier and more efficient.

HUD requires that we monitor to determine if there are inconsistencies or weaknesses in your program operation and indicate these by issuing findings or concerns. A finding is defined as a program element that does not comply with a Federal statute or regulation. Findings require a response and must be resolved by implementing specific corrective actions.

A concern is either a potential finding or program weakness that should be improved to avoid future problems. Concerns bring to the attention of the recipient potential areas where changes could be beneficial to the program, and/or if not addressed could lead to findings in future program reviews.

We found no findings or concerns during our visit and would like to congratulate your staff on their efforts to operate a successful program. Monitoring is an ongoing process and you will be contacted in the future if you continue to receive CDBG allocations for additional reviews.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Carpenter

Community Development Program Consultant

Vapriie Kasvikis

Senior Management Analyst

Subrecipient Monitoring Report - FY 2010/11

Program: East County Transitional Living Center (ECTLC)

<u>Date of Monitoring</u>: Program content, files and financial records were monitored on-site on 5/17/2011. Monitoring contact was Ivan Andujar.

<u>Overview</u>: The Scope of Work portion of the contract indicated they would serve 400; however, they have served 142. There was some confusion regarding unduplicated counts when they prepared the Scope of Work, but have reported correctly unduplicated recipients. The homeless facility is operated out of an old motel. They have rooms that are available for differing time frames. They have individual case files when the person is actively assisted and transfer the paperwork to a binder once the recipient has completed their stay. Intake forms are signed and data regarding income, race, and gender is indicated on these forms. They use a system called Intuit to track financial data. They have a staff member who provides job information. They had 43 recipients get employment based on references from the center. They believe with this collaboration they can help people others cannot.

Findings and Concerns

A finding is defined as a program element that does not comply with a Federal statute or regulation. Findings require a response and must be resolved by implementing specific corrective actions.

A concern is either a potential finding or program weakness that should be improved to avoid future problems. Concerns bring to the attention of the recipient potential areas where changes could be beneficial to the program, and/or if not addressed could lead to findings in future program reviews.

<u>Areas Covered:</u> Each applicable area on the City of El Cajon monitoring checklist was covered. Broad topics include National objectives and eligibility; Performance evaluation/conformance to Subrecipient Agreement; Record-keeping system; Financial management system; and Non-discrimination policies. There were no findings. There were no concerns or findings.

Monitoring completed by What Carpetel

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING CHECKLIST

Organizat	tion: ECTLC			
Address:	1527 E. Main St			
Agency S	taff: Ivan Andurar			
Review T	eam: Causentel			
Date of V	isit: Test	t Period Reviewed: 700/11		
	ation for this monitoring visit, the assigned in the City of El Cajon's files:	monitor has reviewed the following project data		
Subre	cipient's Application (and any amendments app	proved)		
☐ Writter		ndments or approved changes in scope of work or		
☐ Progre	ess reports submitted through $5/2/\mu$	[date]		
Reque	ests for payment			
☐ Docun	nentation of previous monitoring(s) and correct	tive actions		
Copies	s of audits submitted			
Cobie:	s of addits submitted			
<u>1.0</u> N	IATIONAL OBJECTIVES AND ELIGIBILITY			
1.1 V	Vhich National Objective is being met?			
	Benefit to Low- and Mod-Income Persons:	Aid in Prevention of Slums or Blight:		
•	☐ Low/Mod Area Benefit	on an Area Basis		
	imited Clientele Benefit	on a Spot Basis		
-	Low/Mod Housing Benefit	An Urgent Need:		
	☐ Job Creation or Retention	☐ Needs having a particular urgency		
1.2 V	What is the eligibility citation (§570.201-206)?			
	ERFORMANCE EVALUATION/CONFORMAN			
2.1 A	Are the contract objectives being met with respect to location(s) and hours of operation as			
S	specified in the contract?			
If	If not, explain Trevious Counts not unduplicated,			
(City stoff recently clarified they must be			
,	undeeplicated -	· /		
	101-10-10			

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING CHECKLIST (Page 2)

2.2	Is the project achieving expected levels of performance (number of persons served, number of
	units completed, etc.), reaching the intended client group, and being performed in a timely
	manner (in accordance with schedule as shown in the Agreement)?
	List accomplishments and explain discrepancies <u>See previous</u>
	Certain \$0 roms are available for longer
	terms 101 roms - 30 roms avallable for
	Than (28 days max stay)
2.3	Have progress reports been submitted on time and were they complete and accurate?
	Using HPRP - no longer reporting or DBG
2.4	Does the project conform to any special terms or conditions included in the Subrecipient's
	Application and/or Subrecipient Agreement? Care taken Wy religious.
	port of their programs - Reef of
3.0	RECORD-KEEPING SYSTEM OUT & COBS
3.1	Are the subrecipient's files orderly, comprehensive, secured for confidentiality where negessary,
	and up-to-date? Note any areas of deficiency and Cosa file while
	person is octive - Then to binder In storage
3.2	Is there a system in place for collecting and aggregating the data submitted on income, race and
	gender to support the information/reports provided for the CAPER? Please describe the project's
	intake process. In the instal intake form
3.3	Can the information listed on reports be tracked back to the original recording forms?
	So on the form
3.4	Are separate files kept for each client, with information on income, race, and gender?
	nfo
3.5	Is income verified or self-reported? Please describe process for verification.
	Self-report - do require verif - chech EBT Are records retained for minimum of four years? - 40
3.6	Are records retained for minimum of four years? — 420 —

#	ant	Skat they would change of pub for a room			
	CITY OF EL CAJON				
		6 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM			
		SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING CHECKLIST (Page 3)			
		Pro 200			
	<u>4.0</u>	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM			
	4.1	Are there fiscal management procedures and systems in place including financial reporting,			
	,	recordkeeping, accounting systems, and payment procedures? Please describe.			
	(VOTCE Sign of or remoursalles - Vall for room			
	4.2	How are CDBG funds accounted for separately?			
	4.3	Is there a system to track budget vs. actual expenditures and CDBG fund balance? Please			
		describe the on list of those housel signed by			
		Client ? - Case many Issued voulder			
	4.4	Does the agency maintain adequate source documentation for expenditures charged to CDBG?			
	4.5	Is there an adequate internal control system?			
	4.6	Does the financial management system include the following?			
		Chart of Accounts Reconciliation			
		Journals Data processing Computer -			
		LedgersReporting system			
	4.7	Do the accounting records include? In State Cost miles -			
		Draw down requests Bank records Rom only -			
		Payroll records/timesheets Receipts/disbursements			
		Cost allocation plan			
	4.8	Does the agency handle cash payments and if so, how are they managed?			
Det fees from rooms warage by groges					
		of the that CBBG			
	4.9	Is Program Income generated, and if so, properly accounted for? Ame for CDBS			
		program Vouches			
	4.10	Was Independent Audit or Single Audit required, and if so, completed and submitted for			
		clearance? Provided wy application			
		Any questioned costs or findings?			
	F 0	NON DICORIMINATION			
	<u>5.0</u>	NON-DISCRIMINATION			
	5.1	Is there a policy of Equal Employment Opportunity?			
	5.2	Is there a policy of Non-Discrimination for services?			
	5.3	Is this location accessible to persons with disabilities?			

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING CHECKLIST (Page 4)

<u>6.0</u>	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT	[Applies to this project: Yes No]			
6.1	Are acquisition records maintained?				
6.2					
	If so, were CDBG funds repaid?				
6.3	Are there any safeguards to prevent damag	e or theft?			
<u>7.0</u>	PROCUREMENT AND BONDING	[Applies to this project: Yes No]			
7.1					
7.2		nount of the contract procured?			
7.3	For this contract, what procurement method was used (24 CFR §85.36(d))?				
	☐ Small purchase ☐ Compe	etitive proposals			
-		ompetive proposals			
7.4	Were any debarred, suspended or ineligible contractor used?				
7.5	Were conflict of interest provisions and bon	ding requirements included in all contracts?			
8.0	LABOR STANDARDS	[Applies to this project: Yes No]			
8.1	Does this project involve construction work	valued in excess of \$2,000?			
8.2	If so, has Davis-Bacon been determined by	Contract Administrator to apply to this project?			
8.3	Were appropriate Davis-Bacon wages paid	?			
8.4	Is documentation in files?				
	Wage determination	Certified Payrolls			
	Employee interview forms				
8.5	Person responsible for Labor Standards:				
9.0	OVERALL EVALUATION				
9.1	Is subrecipient meeting the terms of the Subrecipient Agreement and HUD regulations? Describe				
	positive conclusions and any weaknesses identified.				

CITY OF EL CAJON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM SUBRECIPIENT MONITORING CHECKLIST (Page 5)

9.2	Corrective action(s) or follow-up measures needed and schedule for implementing:		

9.3	Training/technical assistance needed	and schedule for implementing:	
9.4	Additional comments:		
÷			
Monito	r Signature:	Date:	
	litional narrative report attached.	·	