

1 THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel  
County of San Diego  
2 By TIMOTHY M. BARRY, Chief Deputy (State Bar No. 89019)  
STEPHANIE KARNAVAS, Senior Deputy (State Bar No. 255596)  
3 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355  
San Diego, CA 92101-2469  
4 Telephone: (619) 531-6259  
E-mail: [timothy.barry@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:timothy.barry@sdcounty.ca.gov)  
5 *Exempt From Filing Fees (Gov't Code § 6103)*

6 Attorneys for Defendant Michael Vu

7  
8 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
9 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**  
10 **CENTRAL DIVISION**

11 RAYMOND LUTZ, ) No. 37-2016-00023347-CU-PT-CTL  
12 Contestant, ) Action Filed: July 11, 2016  
13 v. ) **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND**  
14 MICHAEL VU, Registrar of Voters for the ) **AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION**  
County of San Diego; HILARY CLINTON, ) **FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**  
15 Democratic Presidential Party candidate ) **AND DISMISSAL OF SECOND AMENDED**  
names as an indispensable party, and DOES ) **AFFIDAVIT OF CONTESTANT**  
16 1-10, ) **IMAGED FILE**  
17 Defendants. ) Date: June 7, 2018  
18 ) Time: 1:30 p.m.  
19 ) Dept.: 903  
20 ) ICJ: Hon. Laura H. Parsky  
21 ) **[IMAGED FILE]**

22 Michael Vu, in his official capacity as the Registrar of Voters for the County of San  
23 Diego (the “Registrar”), respectfully submits the following memorandum of points and  
24 authorities in support of his motion for judgment on the pleadings in his favor and request to  
25 dismiss the second amended affidavit of contestant Raymond Lutz.

26 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

27 Contestant filed his original “Affidavit of Contestant Raymond Lutz re: Democratic Party  
28 Presidential Primary Election” contesting the results of the June 6, 2016 Presidential Primary  
Election between Hillary Clinton and Bernie Sanders on July 11, 2016. The original Affidavit  
named Raymond Lutz as the contestant; Michael Vu as the defendant, and Hillary Clinton as an

1 indispensable party. (Affidavit of Contestant, p. 2, ll. 2-6.) Contestant never served the original  
2 Affidavit of Contestant on defendant Vu or Hillary Clinton.

3 Without leave of court, contestant filed his First Amended Affidavit of Contestant (“FA  
4 Affidavit”) on October 26, 2017, 15 months after the filing of the original affidavit. Contestant  
5 caused a copy of the FA Affidavit to be mailed to the Registrar, Hillary Clinton and Bernie  
6 Sanders on October 26, 2017. The Registrar filed his answer to the FA Affidavit on November  
7 3, 2017.

8 Without leave of court, contestant filed his Second Amended Affidavit of Contestant  
9 (“SA Affidavit”) on December 27, 2017. A copy of the SA Affidavit was mailed to the  
10 Registrar and Hillary Clinton on December 27, 2017. Contestant deleted any reference to the  
11 Registrar from the caption of the SA Affidavit and affirmatively alleged that “**San Diego  
12 County and San Diego County Registrar of Voters Michael Vu**, are not defendants [*Sic*]  
13 have no standing in the contest although as the county of jurisdiction and the election official in  
14 charge of the election, they are required to perform ministerial duties to implement the  
15 CONTEST process.” (SA Affidavit, p. 2:7-10, emphasis in original.) Contestant further alleges  
16 the basis for his “contest is focused specifically on [Election Code] Section 16100 (a) and  
17 (g) ....” (SA Affidavit, p. 2:22.)

18 On March 7, 2018, contestant mailed a “Request for Production of Documents to Real  
19 Party of Interest Michael Vu and the County of San Diego.” The RFP contains two requests one  
20 of which is for the production of the ballots from the June 2016 Presidential Primary for  
21 inspection and audit by Contestant. (RFP, p. 5:14 – 6:4.)

## 22 **GROUND FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

### 23 **A. This Court Lacks Jurisdiction to Decide this Election Contest.**

24 “A proceeding to contest an election may be brought only when and as authorized by  
25 statute.” (*Alden v. Superior Court* (1963) 212 Cal.App.2d 764, 768.) This court lacks  
26 jurisdiction over any contest arising from a Presidential Primary Election. Contests challenging  
27 elections for offices in which the candidates are certified by the Secretary of State must be

28 ///

1 brought in the Superior Court of the County of Sacramento. (Elections Code<sup>1</sup> § 16421.)  
2 Candidates for Presidential Primary elections are certified for the ballot by the Secretary of  
3 State. (Section 6180.)

4 **B. The Affidavit of Contest of the June 2016 Presidential Primary is**  
5 **Moot.**

6 This court’s duty “is to decide actual controversies by a judgment which can be carried  
7 into effect, and not to give opinions upon moot questions or abstract propositions, or to declare  
8 principles or rules of law which cannot affect the matter in issue in the case before it.” (*Paul v.*  
9 *Milk Depots, Inc.* (1964) 62 Cal.2d 129, 132; *see also Finnie v. Town of Tiburon* (1988) 199  
10 Cal.App.3d 1, 11 [dismissing as moot appeal from trial court’s denial of injunction to stop  
11 election where election had subsequently taken place].) Section 16003 provides that the final  
12 determination and judgment in a contest of presidential electors “shall be rendered at least six  
13 days before the first Monday after the second Wednesday in December.” December 12, 2016,  
14 was the last day for any court to make a final determination and judgment on the merits of  
15 contestant’s contest. Contestant did not serve his Affidavit of Contestant until October 26,  
16 2017. The Presidential General Election is now long over and it is impossible for this court to  
17 grant contestant any legally authorized and effectual relief.

18 **C. The Ballots Contestant Seeks to “Audit” have been Sealed and Cannot**  
19 **be Unsealed without an Order of a Court with Proper Jurisdiction.**

20 For elections involving federal offices the Elections Code provides that the packages  
21 containing ballots and identification envelopes “shall be kept by the elections official, unopened  
22 and unaltered for 22 months from the date of the election . . . .” (Section 17301(b).) This statute  
23 goes on to state that if an elections contest or criminal prosecution for voter related fraud or  
24 forgery “is not commenced within the 22-month period . . . , then the elections official shall have  
25 the ballots destroyed or recycled.” (Section 17301(c).) Section 15370 provides that “[a]fter  
26 ballots are counted and sealed, the elections official may not open any ballots *nor permit any*

27 ///

28 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted all references are to the Elections Code.

1 *ballots to be opened* except as permitted in Sections 15303<sup>2</sup> and 15304<sup>3</sup>, or in the event of a  
2 recount.”<sup>4</sup> (Emphasis added.) Lest there be any doubt as to when else the ballots may be  
3 accessed by anyone, the last sentence of Section 17301(c) expressly states: “*The packages shall*  
4 *otherwise remain unopened until the ballots are destroyed or recycled.*” Clearly, unless the  
5 circumstances set forth in the Elections Code are satisfied, the Registrar has *no discretion or*  
6 *duty* to unseal the ballots and make them available to plaintiffs.

7 **D. Contestant has Failed to Allege or Demonstrate that the Allegations, if**  
8 **True, would Change the Results of the June 2016 Presidential Primary.**

9 “An irregularity or improper conduct of a primary election shall annul the results or set  
10 aside a nomination only if it appears that illegal votes have been given to the defendant, which if  
11 taken away, would reduce the number of legal votes below the number of votes given to the  
12 contestant.” (Section 16300.) Hillary Clinton received 215,655 or 51.43% of the votes cast in  
13 San Diego County in the 2016 Democratic Presidential Primary. (See,  
14 <http://www2.sdcounty.ca.gov/rov/Eng/Past.html>.) Bernie Sanders received 199,716 votes or  
15 47.63% of the votes. (*Id.*) Hillary Clinton beat Bernie Sanders by 363,580 votes in the  
16 statewide tally – 53.1% to 46% of the ballots cast. (See,  
17 <http://elections.cdn.sos.ca.gov/sov/2016-primary/2016-complete-sov.pdf>.)

18 Contestant would have to show that 181,791 illegal votes were cast for Hillary Clinton in  
19 San Diego County and that those votes should have been counted for Bernie Sanders in order for  
20

---

21 <sup>2</sup> Section 15303 provides that if during the official canvass of an election, it appears that  
22 the returns from any precinct “are incomplete, ambiguous, not properly authenticated, or  
23 otherwise defective, the elections official may issue and serve subpoenas requiring members of  
24 the precinct board to appear and be examined under oath concerning the manner in which votes  
25 were counted and the result of the count in their precinct.” Section 15303 only applies when  
26 ballots are tabulated at the polls. San Diego does not tabulated ballots at the polls.

27 <sup>3</sup> Section 15304 relates to jurisdictions using a central counting place and provides that  
28 during the official canvass an “elections official may appoint not less than three deputies to open  
the envelopes or containers with the materials returned from the precincts. If, after examination,  
any of the materials are incomplete, ambiguous, not properly authenticated, or otherwise  
defective, the precinct officers may be summoned before the elections official and examined  
under oath to describe polling place procedures and to correct errors and omissions.”

<sup>4</sup> A request for a recount must be made within 5 days of the completion of the official  
canvass. (Sections 15620 and 15621.)

1 him to prevail on the merits of his contest. If this were true, Hillary Clinton only received  
2 8.08% of the votes, while Bern Sanders received 90.99% of the votes cast in San Diego County.  
3 Contestant has neither alleged nor can he demonstrate that such an egregious error occurred.

4 **E. Contestant Unduly delayed in Prosecuting his Contest and the Action**  
5 **Should be Dismissed with Prejudice.**

6 Contestant delayed prosecuting this contest for almost 16 months. When hearing a  
7 contest of a primary election, a court has only one remedy— to confirm the nomination or to set  
8 the nomination aside. (Section 16720.) Because contestant delayed prosecuting his contest  
9 beyond the November General Election, a court hearing this contest would be unable to  
10 pronounce judgment concerning the nomination of the Democratic Party’s nominee for  
11 President.

12 Contestant acknowledges that he is not seeking to set aside the results of the June 2016  
13 Democratic Primary Election. (FA Affidavit at p. 4, ¶¶ 17-18.) He plainly admits his Affidavit  
14 was “not taken specifically to overturn an election, but rather . . . to determine malconduct of the  
15 election official, or to show that the voting machines were not working correctly, and therefore  
16 to affect elections in the future.” (FA Affidavit at p. 4, ¶16, emphasis added.) He even indicates  
17 that he is willing to stipulate that Hillary Clinton, the only proper defendant in this action, may  
18 be dismissed from the case. (FA Affidavit at p. 1, ¶¶ 23-24.)

19 By his own admission, this is not an actual contest, but an attempt by contestant to gain  
20 access to the sealed ballots from the June 2016 Primary so that he can perform some sort of non-  
21 statutory audit of the Registrar of Voters processes. (FA Affidavit at pp. 12-13; SA Affidavit at  
22 5-6.) None of the many demands contestant makes in his Affidavit are allowable under the  
23 contest provisions of the Elections Code. (FA Affidavit at pp. 12-13; SA Affidavit at 5-6.)  
24 Oversight of the election process and enforcement of state election laws is the province of the  
25 Secretary of State. (Section 10; Gov. Code § 12172.5.) The contest provisions of the Elections  
26 Code exist for the sole purpose of contesting the results of an election. This action can no  
27 longer change the outcome of the election and must be dismissed. (Section 16300.)

28 ///

1 **CONCLUSION**

2 For the forgoing reasons, defendant requests that the court grant this motion for judgment  
3 on the pleadings and dismiss the affidavit of contestant with prejudice, and that the Registrar be  
4 awarded costs for having to defend this contest.

5 Dated: May 9, 2018

THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY,  
County Counsel, County of San Diego

6  
7 By: s/Timothy M. Barry  
TIMOTHY M. BARRY, Chief Deputy  
8 Attorneys for Michael Vu, Registrar of Voters  
for the County of San Diego  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28